

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,083	07/10/2003	Pei-Yuan Lee	3304.2.69	3375
21552 7590 11/03/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MADSON & METCALF			HAMILTON, ISAAC N	
GATEWAY TO	OWER WEST			
SUITE 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
15 WEST SOUTH TEMPLE			3724	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84101			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		E	
	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	10/617,083	LEE, PEI-YUAN	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	,
	Isaac N. Hamilton	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10/13/05 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL	OWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	affidavit, or other evide n compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the			r is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FILED) WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exte	nsion fee have

CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered

- because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. \Box The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

I3. 🗀	Other:	
-------	--------	--

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Pertaining to the applicant's remarks in the response filed 10/13/05, it appears that the Examiner's rejection has been misinterpreted. "The depressing-force exerting portion" is not intended to be element 33 as applicant suggests. The Examiner intends to indicate that "the depressing-force exerting portion" was juxtaposed between the bent portion and the pivot portion as recited in the applicant's limitations. Applicant asserts that "the depressing-force exerting portion" is not located between the bent portion and the prvot portion, and is not coupled to the roller bearing. It is believed that as outlined above by the Examiner, the applicant misinterpreted the element that is being called "the depressing-force exerting portion" in Lee, and it is also believed that the element juxtaposed between the bent portion and the pivot portion is coupled to the roller bearing. Lee discloses everything except for a roller bearing, as recited in the last Office action. The element 33 in Lee is replaced by the roller bearing h in Shannon. However, as shown in Lee in figure 4, the portion of the rol juxtaposed the bent portion and the pivot portion is coupled to the element 33, and thus "the depressing-force exerting poriton" is coupled to the roller bearing as taught by Lee in view of Shannon.

RENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER